

OBJECTIVES FOR TODAY'S SESSION:



Build consensus and finalize MOU recommendations



Review a proposed evaluation plan



SLEP JOURNEY TO DATE - 2022-23

Hanover Research completed the following three research studies on behalf of ACPS and SLEP:



Best Practices For Policing In K-12 Schools

June 2022

Hanover reviewed best practices for structuring SRO programs and research examining the effects of SROs and school policing more broadly on school climate, safety, and student outcomes.



SLEP Stakeholder Survey

September - October 2022

Hanover surveyed ACPS staff members, middle and high school students, parents of ACPS students, and community members familiar with ACPS.

5,238

Total Respondents



Discussion Groups

October – November 2022

Hanover conducted 18 discussion groups with 142 middle and high school students, parents, and staff.

142

Discussion Group Participants



SLEP JOURNEY TO DATE – 2023-24

Hanover Research completed the following research studies on behalf of ACPS and SLEP:



Best Practices in School Resource Officer Programming

October 2023



SLEP Stakeholder Survey Alignment

November 2023



SRO Program – Logic Model and Evaluation Framework

> December 2023 – March 2024



LOGIC MODEL: SLEP SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER PROGRAM

SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES

Which outcomes does the district expect to achieve in Year 1?

Program Adoption

 SROs understand responsibilities within the Triad model

 Teachers and other school staff are familiar with the Triad model and SRO responsibilities

Student and School Community Outcomes

Improved safety outcomes

Trained in Triad Model

Disruptions decrease

MEDIUM-TERM OUTCOMES

Which outcomes does the district expect to achieve in Years 2-3?

Student and School Community Outcomes

- Increased feelings of safety among students, teachers, staff, and community members
- Number of arrests decrease
- Improvement in teacher retention
- Decrease in violent and aggressive incidents
- Fewer discipline incidents
- Decrease in truancy levels
- Reduction in complaints to SROs

LONG-TERM OUTCOMES

Which outcomes does the district expect to achieve in Year 4 and subsequent school years?

Student and School Community Outcomes

- Less instructional and classroom time spent on behavioral incidents and discipline
- Reduced crime in schools

- Improved relationships between law enforcement and students
- Increased student engagement in school
- Improved student academic achievement



MOU RECOMMENDATIONS

DRAFT SLEP 2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) The partner organizations should continue to incrementally update (per established two-year cycle) the MOU between ACPS and the APD to better align with the triad model, which conceptualizes the School Resource Officer (SRO) role as having three components: law enforcer (primary), educator and informal counselor.
- 2) The partners should conduct qualitative research to benchmark the SRO program with other leading school districts. The Subcommittee recommends a 'pulse' survey in the 2024-25 school year, followed by a new survey once the MOU has been adopted and implemented (2025 to 2027).
- 3) Nine recommendations to be included in the 2025-2027 MOU update, all of which contribute to improved ongoing Evaluation of the School Law Enforcement Partnership with the goal of creating an SRO program data dashboard that reflects indicators for these short, medium and long-term outcomes.



ACTIVITY 1: INDEPENDENT REFLECTION



Independently review the drafted MOU additions/ revisions (See slides 9-17). As you read, highlight or use postits to identify those parts of the text that:

- Raise questions or concerns for you or conflict with your beliefs
- Confirm what you believe and our past discussions

Please also note anything that you believe is missing from the proposed recommendations.

ACTIVITY 2: PAIR UP AND REVIEW/ REVISE



Review your reflections with a partner.

Build consensus as a pair.

Use sticky notes to draft 1-2 possible additions/ revisions within each outcome, if any.

Place your sticky notes on the applicable charts.

ACTIVITY 3: GALLERY WALK AND FINALIZE



Gallery Walk- review all notes for each outcome.

Build consensus and finalize each outcome with the whole group.

Outcome 1- SROs understand responsibilities within the Triad model

Timeframe and Indicator	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Timeframe: Short-Term (to be included in next MOU, which will begin July 1, 2025 and run to June 30, 2027)	4aiv- Police Department Responsibilities: The APD will ensure the SRO receives relevant training prior to any assignment in a school and ongoing joint training with school administrators. The training should be aligned with the MOU and DCJS curriculum and in consultation with ACPS.	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
Current Headline Indicator: Quarterly SRO reports	[DRAFT] APD will develop a law-related curriculum for students, faculty and parents. The curriculum for students will be age-appropriate based on grade level. ACPS will support SRO professional development by providing officers access to trainings on working with students with special needs, bullying, mental health issues in	□ 1-2 Possible Additions:□ 1-2 Possible Revisions:
	adolescence and how to establish effective relationships with students, staff and parents Add language to 4a to point to SRO Roles and Responsibilities in Appendix Appendix 1 – Add language specific to triad model	☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:



Outcome 2- Teachers and other school staff are familiar with the Triad model and SRO responsibilities

Timeframe and	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Indicator		
Timeframe: Short-Term (to be included in next MOU, which will begin July 1, 2025 and run to June 30, 2027)	4bv- ACPS will ensure that school administrators with an assigned SRO receive relevant training on the role and responsibilities of SROs.	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
Current Headline Indicator: Quarterly SRO reports	Add language to 4bv that tracks training.	☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
		□ 1-2 Possible Revisions:



Outcome 3- Disruptions decrease

Timeframe and Indicator	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Timeframe: Short-Term (to be included in next MOU, which will begin July 1, 2025 and run to June 30, 2027)	3civ- The Office of Safety and Security Services will provide the Superintendent with a summary of findings after each meeting.	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
Current Headline Indicator:	Add language that data will be posted on a data dashboard.	☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
ACPS School Safety Report	3d- Furthermore, this information will be prepared in a report to be shared with the School Board and the public on the APD and ACPS respective websites. Add language referring to data dashboard.	☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:



Outcome 4- Arrests or referrals, safety related incidents and truancy levels decrease

Timeframe and	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Indicator		
Timeframe:	3civ- The Office of Safety and Security Services	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
Medium-Term (Data is tracked now but will be collected and consolidated in a SRO	will provide the Superintendent with a summary of findings after each meeting. Add language that data will be posted on a data	
program data dashboard beginning with the	dashboard.	☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
2025-26 school year)	3d- Furthermore, this information will be prepared in a report to be shared with the School	a 1 2 1 ossible Additions.
Current Headline Indicator:	Board and the public on the APD and ACPS respective websites.	
	Add language referring to data dashboard.	☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:
2025 Dashboard (Behavioral Outcomes)		T 2 I OSSIDIE REVISIONS.



Outcome 5- Reduction in complaints about SROs

Timeframe and	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Indicator		
Timeframe: Medium-Term (Data is tracked now but will be collected and consolidated in a SRO program data dashboard beginning with the 2025-26 school year)	will provide the Superintendent with a summary of findings after each meeting. Add language that data will be posted on a data dashboard.	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations: ☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
Current Headline Indicator: Quarterly SRO reports	Board and the public on the APD and ACPS respective websites. Add language referring to data dashboard.	☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:



Outcome 6- Increase in positive perceptions of safety

Timeframe and	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Indicator		
Timeframe:	3ciii- School climate surveys will be reviewed by	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
Long-Term (Data is	the Office of Safety and Security Services and	
tracked now but will be	analyzed for positive and negative trends versus	
collected and	previous years (annual reviews).	
consolidated in a SRO		
program data dashboard	Add language referring to data dashboard.	
beginning with the		☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
2025-26 school year)	3d- Furthermore, this information will be	1-2 Possible Additions.
	prepared in a report to be shared with the School	
Current Headline	Board and the public on the APD and ACPS	
Indicator:	respective websites.	
Annual School Climate		
Survey	Add language referring to data dashboard.	
		☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:



Outcome 7- Improved relationships between law enforcement, school security officers and students

Timeframe and	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Indicator		
Timeframe:	3civ- The Office of Safety and Security Services	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
Long-Term	will provide the Superintendent with a summary	
(Data is tracked now but	of findings after each meeting.	
will be collected and		
consolidated in a SRO	Add language that data will be posted on a data	
program data dashboard beginning with the	dashboard.	
2025-26 school year)		☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
2020 20 00.1001 / 00.17	3d- Furthermore, this information will be	1-2 rossible Additions.
Current Headline	prepared in a report to be shared with the School	
Indicator:	Board and the public on the APD and ACPS	
Quarterly SRO reports	respective websites.	
	Add language referring to data dashboard.	
		☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:



Outcome 8- Improvement in teacher retention

Timeframe and	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Indicator		
Timeframe:	3d- Furthermore, this information will be	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
Long-Term	prepared in a report to be shared with the	
(Data is tracked now but will be collected and	School Board and the public on the APD	
consolidated in a SRO	and ACPS respective websites.	
program data dashboard		
beginning with the 2025-26 school year)	Add language referring to data dashboard.	☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
2023-20 School year)		☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
Current Headline		
Indicator:		
Equity for all 2025		
Dashboard		
		☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:



Outcome 9- Improved student academic achievement

Timeframe and	MOU Additions/Revisions (red text new)	Reflection
Indicator		
Timeframe: Long-Term (Data is tracked now but will be collected and consolidated in a SRO program data dashboard	3d- Furthermore, this information will be prepared in a report to be shared with the School Board and the public on the APD and ACPS respective websites.	☐ Initial Reaction/ Observations:
beginning with the 2025- 26 school year)	Add language referring to data dashboard.	☐ 1-2 Possible Additions:
Current Headline Indicator:		
Equity for all 2025 Dashboard (Academic Outcomes)		
Outcomes		☐ 1-2 Possible Revisions:





PROPOSED EVALUATION PLAN

PROPOSED EVALUATION PLAN: PROJECTS



SLEP Stakeholder Survey



SLEP Stakeholder Facilitated Discussion Groups



Behavioral and Safety Incidents Data Analysis



SLEP Capstone Report



SLEP Summary Infographic



SLEP STAKEHOLDER SURVEY



SLEP Stakeholder Survey

Stakeholder Groups	 Students, staff, families, SROs, and community members
Research Questions	 What are stakeholders' perceptions of safety throughout the school and division? What are student, staff, and community members' perceptions of SROs? What are students', staff, and community members' needs related to safety throughout the school and division? In what areas do SROs, school staff, and administrators need additional professional development around the SRO Triad model?
Key Outcomes Metrics	 Relationships between stakeholders and SROs and SSOs SROs understanding responsibilities of Triad Model The needs of students, staff and community members Familiarity with Triad Model Progress over time



SLEP STAKEHOLDER FACILITATED FOCUS GROUPS



SLEP Stakeholder **Facilitated** Discussion Groups

Stakeholder Groups	 Students, staff, families, SROs, and community members
Research Questions	 What are students', staff, families', and community members' perceptions of safety throughout the school and division? What are student, staff, and community members' perceptions of SROs? What are students', staff, and community members' needs related to safety throughout the school and division? In what areas do SROs, school staff, and administrators need additional professional development around the SRO Triad model?
Key Outcomes Metrics	 SROs understanding responsibilities of Triad Model Relationships between stakeholders and SROs and SSOs The needs of students, staff and community members Familiarity with Triad Model



BEHAVIORAL AND SAFETY INCIDENTS DATA ANALYSIS DASHBOARD



Behavioral and Safety Incidents Data Analysis

Stakeholder Groups	No direct stakeholder involvement
Research Questions	 What trends exist in behavioral and safety incidents? What are the behavioral and safety incidents for students before and after SRO program implementation? What disproportionalities in behavioral and safety incidents exist between student subgroups? How have those disproportionalities changed over time?
Key Outcomes Metrics	 Trends in student behavioral data and safety incident reports – tracking key metrics (including teacher retention) Impact of SRO programmatic changes



ANNUAL SLEP CAPSTONE REPORT



SLEP Capstone Report or Infographic (Annual)

Stakeholder Groups	 No direct stakeholder involvement
Research Questions	 What are the key trends from the evaluation studies that demonstrate impacts of the SRO program? What are students', staff, families', and community members' perceptions of safety throughout the school and division? What are student, staff, and community members' perceptions of SROs? What are the indicators of short and long-term effects on student and school outcomes?
Key Outcomes Metrics	 Key takeaways of impact and perception trends from the mixed-methods evaluation studies



